|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 08-29-11 | Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) | N | **TOT 1A (Path 30) Limit Calculation Update Project** | 12/2013 |
|  |  | N | 08-29-11 By e-mail this date, Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) initiated the Expedited Rating Process for the TOT 1A (Path 30) Limit Calculation Update Project. This project is initiated to update the TOT 1A limit calculation methodology and no changes to the current E-W transfer capability of 650 MW are being sought. In addition, a request for interest in participation in a Project Review Group was made. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 6-12-13 By email this date the comprehensive progress report was distributed for review. Interest in participation in the PRG is due June 26, 2013. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 1-7-14 By email this date Path 30 is granted phase 3 status. The transfer capability remains 650 MW. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 08-19-13 | Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc. (TSGT) | N | **Keota Interconnection TOT 3 Definition Change** | December 2015 |
|  |  | N | 8-16-13 By email this date Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc. (TSGT) desires to expedite the Rating Process for re-defining a WECC Path 36 element. TSGT is undertaking a project to sectionalize the Laramie River Station (LRS)- Story 345 kV line for a radial load serving connection, at a point approximately 60-65 miles north of Story along the line. This line is an element of WECC Path 36, also known as TOT 3. The definition of TOT 3 for this line will need to be updated, with no change to the metered locations. The attached report details the change needed to the path definition and an analysis showing no negative impacts to the existing and future ratings of TOT 3. The projected in-service date is December 2015. The comprehensive progress report was distributed with the aforementioned email. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 11-12-13 By email this date a letter from the PCC chair, in accordance with the WECC Project Coordination and Path Rating Processes, Path 36 was hereby granted an Accepted Rating, the effective date to coincide with the completion of the Keota Project. There is no change to the existing accepted rating of Path 36 which remains 1680 MW North to South (Maximum). |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 03-07-12 | NV Energy (NVE) | N | **Southern Nevada Transmission Interface Project (Path 81 Redefinition)** | 4/2013 |
|  |  | N | 03-07-12 By e-mail this date; NV Energy initiated the Expedited Rating Process for the redefinition of Path 81. The new non-simultaneous rating for the path will be 3948 MW South-to-North (NVE import) and 4458 MW North-to-South (NVE export) as measured at the SNTI line BA boundaries. A Comprehensive Progress Report was also distributed on March 7, 2012. This email also announced the formation of a Project Review Group and requested interested parties to respond by April 6, 2012 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \*S | 11-4-13 By email this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter granting SNTI an Accepted Rating of 4533 MW N-S and 3970 MW S-N. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 11-20-12 | NWMT |  | **Path 18 Upgrade Project** | 2013 |
|  |  | N | 11-20-12 By e-mail this date, NWMT submitted a Comprehensive Progress Report to increase the rating for Path 18 to 383 MW (North to South. NWMT requested the rating process be expedited. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 01-30-13 By e-mail this date, the PCC Chair issued a letter indicating that the Path 18 Upgrade has achieved Phase III status and an accepted rating of 383 MW N-S as described in the report. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 02-27-08 | Idaho Power Company (IPC) | N | **Hemingway to Boardman Transmission Project** | 2018 |
|  |  | N | 02-27-08 By e-mail this date Idaho Power Company requested entry into Phase I of the Project Rating Review Process for the Hemingway to Boardman Transmission Project. The proposed project is a single circuit 500 kV transmission line starting at a proposed substation located southwest of Boise named Hemingway and ending at Boardman Substation. The line is approximately 230 miles long and will be rated at 1000 MW bi-directional. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 11-28-12 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter indicating that the Hemingway-Boardman 500 kV Project has achieved Phase III status and a planned rating of 2250 MW west to east and 3400 MW east to west. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 04-25-08 | BC Transmission Corp. (BCTC) | N | **Path 3 (Northwest-BC) S-N Rating Increase** |  |
|  |  | N | 04-25-08 By e-mail this date BC Transmission Corp (BCTC) and ColumbiaGrid requested entry into Phase I of the Project Rating Review Process for the Path 3 (Northwest-BC) South-North rating increase project. The project consists of an increase of the conductor to ground clearance on the BC side of the path. Necessary upgrades to the U.S. side of the path will be identified during Phase I studies. The south to north rating on Path 3 is expected to increase from the current 2000 MW up to 3000 MW. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 12-13-12 By email this date Scott Waples, PCC Chair distributed a letter stating that the Path 3 S-N is hereby granted Phase 3 status with an Accepted Rating of 3000 MW. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 02-14-07 | Tri-State G&T, Inc. (TSGT) | N | **TOT3 Archer Interconnection Project (previously known as TOT3 300 MW Upgrade Project)** | 2013 |
|  |  | N | 02-27-07 By e-mail this date Basin Electric Power Cooperative (BEPC) distributed a Comprehensive Progress Report requesting the initiation of the Project Rating Review Process for the TOT3 300 MW Upgrade Project. The project is expected to be completed in two stages, with the first stage resulting in a 200 MW rating increase and the second stage in a 100 MW rating increase on TOT3. A request was also made for interest in participation in a Project Review Group. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 11-16-12 By email the PCC Chair provided a letter granting Phase III status with an Accepted Rating of 1843 MW north to south. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 02-03-12 | Arizona Public Service Company (APS) | N | **Four Corners 525/345 kV Transformer Upgrade Project (Path 23)** | 2/2012 |
|  |  | N | 02-1-12 By e-mail this date; Arizona Public Service Company initiated the Expedited Rating Process for the Path 23 Upgrade Project. The 840 MVA transformer is being replaced with a new 1025 MVA transformer. Most of the 345 kV leads are also being replaced. A Comprehensive Progress Report was also distributed on February 6, 2012. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 04-18-12 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed notification that the Path 23 Upgrade Project is granted Phase III status with an Accepted Rating of 1000 MW. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 06-07-11 | Pacific Gas & Electric Co. (PG&E) | N | **Path 26 S-N Re-definition Project** | 2011 |
|  |  | N | 06-07-11 By e-mail this date, PG&E initiated the Expedited Rating Process for the Path 26 S-N Re-defin ition Project. The existing Path 26 includes the Midway-Vincent #1, #2, and #3 500 kV lines metered at Midway. Southern California Edison plans to build a new Whirlwind Substation that will be looped into the existing Midway-Vincent #3 500 kV line for integrating renewable generation in the Tehachapi area. This will result in a change to the Path 26 definition as follows: Midway-Vincent #1 and #2 and Midway-Whirlwind #3 500 kV lines, all metered at Midway. The proposed path redefinition will not change the existing S-N rating of 3000 MW. A CPR was posted for 60-day review and a request was made for interest in participation on a PRG. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 09-27-11 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed notification that the Path 26 Redefinition Project is granted Phase III status with an Accepted Rating of 3000 MW S-N. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 06-18-08 | Northwestern Energy (NWE) | N | **Mountain States 500 kV Transmission Intertie (MSTI) Project** | 2013 |
|  |  | S | 06-18-08 By e-mail this date the TSS Chair distributed a letter indicating that the MSTI Project sponsored by NWE has achieved Phase II status. The comments submitted by PPL Energy Plus on 5/27/08 will be addressed during the Phase II study process. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 09-27-11 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed notification that the MSTI Project is granted Phase III status with Accepted Ratings of 1100 MW S-N and 1500 MW N-S. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 02-27-08 | Idaho Power Company (IPC) | N | **Gateway West – Borah West, Midpoint West & Bridger 2400 MW Upgrade Projects** | 2012 |
|  |  | N | 02-06-09 By e-mail this date the TSS chair distributed a letter indicating that the Gateway West Transmission Project has achieved Phase II status for planned rating increases of the following paths; TOT 4A, Bridger West, and Borah West to 3435 MW, 5200 MW, and 5557 MW, respectively, and two new paths created with this project; West of Aeolus (2050 MW) and Midpoint West (5487 MW). |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 07-21-11 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter granting Phase III status to the Gateway West – Borah West, Midpoint West, and Bridger 2400 MW Upgrade Projects with the following Accepted Ratings: Borah West (4450 MW E-W), Midpoint West (4400 MW E-W) and Bridger West (2400 MW E-W). |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 03-05-12 By e-mail this date Orlando A Ciniglio distributed a letter indicating that the Bridger West portion of this project has completed Phase III with the following Accepted Rating: Bridger West (2400 MW E-W). |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 01-11-08 | PacifiCorp (PACE) | N | **Gateway South TOT 2B/2C Project** | 2011-16 |
|  |  | N | 01-11-08 By e-mail this date National Grid requested entry into Phase I of the Project Rating Review Process for a two-segment project called the Gateway South Project. The first segment of this project consists of a 330 mile single-circuit 500 kV AC transmission line from Mona substation in Utah to Crystal substation near Las Vegas, NV. This line is planned to have a bidirectional rating of up to 1500 MW. The second segment of this project is a 400 mile double-circuit 500 kV AC transmission line from a new substation, Aeolus, in southeastern Wyoming to Mona substation in Utah. This line will be capable of delivering up to 3000 MW of resources to growing markets in Utah and the DSW. National Grid also solicited interest in forming a joint Phase I Rating Study Team by 02/10/08. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 06-21-11 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter granting Phase III status and an Accepted Rating to the Gateway South - TOT 2B/2C Project. It was recognized that issues brought up by LDWP are still outstanding but as these issues are operational in nature, they are to be addressed by LDWP and PacifiCorp during Phase III of this project. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 03-06-12 By e-mail this date Craig Quist distributed a letter stating that all facilities associated with this project are in-service. The accepted path rating are in the table below:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | |  | TOT 2B1 | | TOT 2B2 | | TOT 2C | | |  | N-S | S-N | N-S | S-N | N-S | S-N | | 2011 | 600 | 600 | 265 | 300 | 400 | 580 | |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 07-12-07 | Southwestern Power Group II, LLC (SWPG) | N | **SunZia Southwest Transmission Project** | 2015 |
|  |  | N | 07-27-09 By e-mail this date the TSS Chair distributed a letter indicating that the SunZia Southwest Transmission Project has achieved Phase II status and a planned rating of 3000 MW. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 03-30-11 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter indicating that the SunZia Southwest Transmission Project has achieved Phase III status with an Accepted Rating of 3000 MW. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 11-26-13 Upon seeing a significant amount of email traffic concerning some new studies and cases that are being performed by email this day I received the following response:  SunZia does have an Accepted Rating of 3000MW for two 500kV AC lines (approximately 500 miles in length) that went through the full WECC Three Phase Rating Process that concluded in early 2011. SunZia has also been providing regular Annual Progress Reports to WECC based on the permitting status and timing related to the Project. The Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) was issued by the lead federal agency, the BLM, in June 2013 and is in the process of finalizing the Record of Decision in early 2014.  With the FEIS, a potential location for one of the interconnections in NM to the existing Path 47 proposed by SunZia at the existing Luna 345kV substation was somewhat problematic and would have required several miles of additional transmission to loop SunZia lines into the Luna substation. Due to this, SunZia is proposing to relocate the Luna interconnection to the existing Hidalgo 345kV substation, located approximately 60 miles west of Luna. It is important to note that the Phase 2 Report for SunZia also included a sensitivity to interconnect to the Hidalgo 345kV substation. The current activity related to SunZia and organizing the SunZia PRG is primarily to confirm the simultaneous interactions with Path 47 for the intermediate interconnection of SunZia to the existing Hidalgo 345kV substation. The remainder of the SunZia interconnection facilities remain unchanged (SunZia East, Willow and Pinal Central).  An issue was also raised regarding the simultaneous interaction with other WECC paths and SunZia, several of which had been included as part of the Phase 2 Study and accepted by WECC. As part of the FEIS for SunZia, a corridor of up to 1000 feet is being considered and an ultimate ROW for each circuit of 200 feet. A separation distance of 250 feet is achievable for most, if not all of the 500 miles. The PRG will also have a chance to discuss this issue and determine if any additional technical work will need to be conducted. Currently, the SunZia team has committed to conducting the 10% Flow Test on a WECC 2018 base case with the topology confirmed with the PRG members. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 01-05-11 | PacifiCorp  (PACE) | N | **Gateway West – Aeolus West, Bridger/Anticline West and Path C Projects** | ? |
|  |  | S | 02-06-09 By e-mail this date the TSS chair distributed a letter indicating that the Gateway West Transmission Project has achieved Phase II status for planned rating increases of the following paths; TOT 4A, Bridger West, and Borah West to 3435 MW, 5200 MW, and 5557 MW, respectively, and two new paths created with this project; West of Aeolus (2050 MW) and Midpoint West (5487 MW). |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 01-05-11 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter indicating that the Gateway West-Aeolus West (2670 MW east to west), Bridger/Anticline West (4100 MW east to west) and Path C (2250 MW bi-directional north/south) Projects have achieved Phase III status with the indicated Accepted Ratings. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 12-16-10 | PacifiCorp  (PACE) | N | **Gateway South – Aeolus South Project** | ? |
|  |  | S | 02-06-09 By e-mail this date the TSS chair distributed a letter indicating that the Gateway South Project has achieved Phase II status for a planned rating of 3000 MW N-S on the South of Aeolus path, 2400 MW N-S and 790 MW S-N on the Mona South path, and would increase the TOT 2C transfer capability from 300 MW to 2100 MW N-S and from 300 MW to 1700 MW S-N. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 12-16-10 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter indicating that the Gateway South-Aeolus South Project has achieved Phase III status with an Accepted Rating of 1700 MW north to south. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 12-14-10 | PacifiCorp  (PACE) | N | **Gateway West – TOT 4A Project** | ? |
|  |  | S | 02-06-09 By e-mail this date the TSS chair distributed a letter indicating that the Gateway West Transmission Project has achieved Phase II status for planned rating increases of the following paths; TOT 4A, Bridger West, and Borah West to 3435 MW, 5200 MW, and 5557 MW, respectively, and two new paths created with this project; West of Aeolus (2050 MW) and Midpoint West (5487 MW). |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 12-14-10 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter indicating that the Gateway West-TOT 4A Project has achieved Phase III status with an Accepted Rating of 2175 MW north to south. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 05-19-09 | PacifiCorp  (PACE) | N | **TOT 4A/4B Transmission Path Rerate** | ? |
|  |  | N | 07-30-10 By e-mail this date, PacifiCorp (PAC) initiated the Expedited Project Rating Review Process for the TOT 4A/4B Transmission Path Rerate. System upgrades outlined in the 05-19-09 log entry above have been made that affect the definitions of both paths so to obtain accepted ratings, this rerate project is being taken through the Rating Review Process. Along with the notification letter, PacifiCorp provided a Comprehensive Progress Report for 60-day review along with a request for interest in participating on the Phase 2 Project Review Group. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 10-11-10 By e-mail this date, the PCC Chair distributed a letter granting Phase III status to the TOT 4A/4B Transmission Path Rerate Project with Accepted ratings of 937 MW for TOT 4A and 829 MW for TOT 4B. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 11-13-12 By email this date PacifiCorp distributed a revised comprehensive progress report identifying some changes to the plan of service. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 11-26-12 By email this date WAPA submitted comments on the revised report. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 12-24-12 By email this date PacifiCorp distributed an updated report. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 12-30-05 | SCE |  | **EOR 10500 MW Project with EOR 9300 MW upgrade and Devers-Palo Verde No.2** | 2011 |
|  |  | N | 12-30-05 By e-mail this date a Comprehensive Progress Report was distributed for a rating of 10500 MW on the combined projects of EOR 9300 MW upgrade and Devers-Palo Verde No.2 with Path 49 EOR.  Phase 2 status is requested once the 60 day comment period is completed. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 03-01-07 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter confirming that Path 49 (East of Colorado River) has achieved an accepted rating of 10,500 MW in the east to west direction pending completion of the identified upgrades. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 12-22-11 By e-mail this date SCE indicated that since this project achieved Phase III status, the proposed DPV2 Project has been modified and the Plan of Service accordingly updated. As a result, SCE plans to perform additional studies to determine a revised DPV2 Accepted Path 49 rating. In addition, the PRG for this project is reconvened and interest in participation on it is solicited. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9-9-13 By this e-mail this date SCE invited the PRG to the DCR Path 49 (EOR) and Path 46 (WOR) Re-studies Project Review Group Meeting #4. In cooperation with NV Energy (NVE), this meeting will also include NVE's SNTI Expedited Path Rating Study in the interest of reducing your travel and time commitments. The meeting is scheduled on September 27, 2013 between 9:00 am - 5:00 pm at NV Energy Beltway Complex in Las Vegas, Nevada. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 10-11-13 By email this date SCE distributed the final reports for Path 46 and 49 restudy. SCE revised the DPV2 POS by replacing the Devers – San Bernardino 230 kV lines # 1 and # 2 and Devers – Vista 230 kV lines # 1 and # 2 upgrades with a new Devers – Valley 500 kV # 2 line. For the DPV2 rating studies, the Devers – San Bernardino 230 kV lines # 1 and # 2 and the Devers – Vista 230 kV lines # 1 and # 2 would be represented as originally constructed.  The SCE PRG determined that the change to the plan of service did not require being moved back to phase 2 but did require studies to verify that the transfer limit for the path. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 11-21-13 By email this date the PCC chair distributed a letter describing this project retaining phase 3 status. The phase 3 rating is 9,600 MW for path 49 and 11,200 on path 46. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 08-31-05 | SCE |  | **Devers-Palo Verde No.2 Project (DPV2)/Path 46 Rating** | Summer 2011 |
|  |  | N | 08-31-05 By letter this date SCE distributed the Devers-Palo Verde No. 2 Project (DPV2)/Path 46 Rating Comprehensive Progress Report and requested the project be given Phase 2 status. The letter also requested interest in forming a Project Review Group. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 05-17-06 By letter this date the PCC Chair confirmed that Path 46 (West-of-Colorado River) has achieved an Accepted Rating of 11,823 MW in the east to west direction as described in the report and is granted Phase 3, subject to completion of the identified upgrades. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 12-21-11 By e-mail this date SCE indicated that since this project achieved Phase III status, the proposed DPV2 Project has been modified and the Plan of Service accordingly updated. As a result, SCE plans to perform additional studies to determine a revised DPV2 Accepted Path 46 rating. In addition, the PRG for this project is reconvened and interest in participation on it is solicited. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 12-21-11 By e-mail this date Clean Line Energy requested to participate on the PRG for this project. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 10-12-03 | SCE |  | **Devers-Palo Verde No.2 Project (DPV2)/Path 49 Rating** |  |
|  |  | N | 10-10-03 By e-mail this date SCE distributed a letter indicating that they are in the process of finalizing the Plan of Service for the East of the River Path through the construction of the DPV2 Project and solicited interest in participation in a Regional Planning Review Group. | Summer 2011 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 07-27-05 By letter this date SCE distributed the Devers-Palo Verde No. 2 Project Report. SCE requested Phase 3 status and an accepted rating of 9,255 MW. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 08-30-05 By e-mail this date, the PCC Chair issued a letter indicating that the Devers-Palo Verde No. 2 / Path 49 (East of the Colorado River) achieved Phase 3 status and an accepted rating of 9,255 MW as described in the report. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 12-19-05 By e-mail this date the CISO announced a conference call on 12-22-05 to approve the DPVII Extreme Contingencies Study report. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 04-20-06 By e-mail this date the CISO distributed the results of the discussion at the Mead-Phoenix E&O about coordinated operation of the Perkins PST and DPV2 SPS. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 04-07-03 | SDGE | |  | | **Path 49 Upgrade** | 2010 | |
|  |  | | N | | 04-07-03 By e-mail this date, San Diego Gas and Electric (SDGE) distributed a letter dated March 28, 2003 announcing a project to upgrade the rating of Path 49 (EOR) from 7550 MW to 8310 MW. SDGE also distributed a Comprehensive Progress Report for the project and solicited interest in participation in a review group. SDGE requested the expedited process for this upgrade. |  | |
|  |  |  | |  | | |  |
|  |  | S | | 01-18-05 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair issued a letter indicating that the Path 49 Upgrade Project has achieved Phase III status and an accepted Path 49 Rating of 8055 MW. | | |  |
|  |  |  | |  | | |  |
|  |  | S | | 02-28-06 By letter this date IID informed TSS of a temporary change in plan of service for Path 49 Short Term Upgrades. The installation of the phase shifter between IID and SDGE is delay until Dec. 2007. Rather than delay the increase in rating, IID is developing a SPS to protect the El Centro transformer under N-1 conditions. | | |  |
|  |  |  | |  | | |  |
|  |  | S | | 06-02-06 By two e-mails this date the CISO sent a Final Draft Report to the review group and asked for comments by July 3, 2006. | | |  |
|  |  |  | |  | | |  |
|  |  | S | | 06-28-06 By two e-mails this date the CISO distributed the WOR Short-Term Upgrades Final Report with Appendices. Also, Gary DeShazo was thanked for the WOR Short Term Upgrades presentation give at the WECC/WATS meeting. | | |  |
|  |  |  | |  | | |  |
|  |  | S | | 07-10-06 By two e-mails this date the CISO WECC/WATS Peer Review Group DVP2 Path 49 and Path 46 Final Study Reports to WECC. | | |  |
|  |  |  | |  | | |  |
|  |  | S | | 01-18-07 By e-mail this date the TSS Chair indicated that the Path 49 (EOR) Upgrade Project is complete and in-service. | | |  |
|  |  |  | |  | | |  |
|  |  | C | | 03-01-2012 – By an email to WECC Staff dated September 2, 2010 it was indicated the project as stated was not yet completed. The following is excerpts from that email: 1) IID decided to not install the SPS and protect the overload of the Dixieland –RTP1 92 KV with its Overcurrent Protection and not make the Overcurrent Protection conditional to the status of the Devers-Palo Verde line. 2) IID-approved plan of replacing Avenue 58 161/92 kV transformer with a 225 MVA rating in 2010. The transformer is schedule to be in-service by the end of March of 2011. | | |  |
|  |  | C | | 8-4-2017 An email received 1-11-2012 indicated that the new in service date of the transformer was April of 2012. A follow up email was sent again 8-4-17, at which point it was confirmed that the transformer went into service June 2012. | | |  |

## PROJECTS BELOW ARE INACTIVE IN THIS FILE

Projects below are in service or have been cancelled:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 08-19-05 | Montana Alberta Tie Ltd. | N | | **Montana Alberta Tie Project** | Q3/2010 |
|  |  | S | | 02-02-06 By letter this date, the TSS chair issued a letter indicating that the Montana Alberta Tie Project met all the requirements for Phase 2 and granted Phase 2 status for a rating of 300 MW. |  |
|  |  | |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | | 08-28-07 By e-mail this date a letter from the PCC Chair was distributed indicating that the MATL project has achieved Phase III status with an accepted rating of +/- 300 MW. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | C | | 10-04-07 By e-mail this date MATL distributed a letter soliciting interest in forming a PRG to study the inclusion of a 300 MW generation interconnection at the midpoint of the Montana Alberta tie line. The due date for joining the PRG is 11/03/07. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | S | | 09-30-08 By e-mail this date MATL indicated that national and provincial Canadian regulatory approvals have been received. There is an appeal of the Alberta Energy Utility Board decision outstanding. Said appeal will hear the appeal in January 2009. MATL expects to complete financing of the project in the next two months and commence material purchasing in January 2009. Construction is scheduled to start Q3 2009. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | S | | 10-08-09 By e-mail this date MATL provided notice to the PRG that the MATL Project is delayed more than 1 year beyone the original in-service date specified in the Phase II PRG report. Per WECC policy, the PRG was also asked if this delay should result in the MATL Project losing its Accepted Rating status and revert to Phase II of the Path Rating Process. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | C | | 10-09-09 By e-mail this date NaturEner Power Watch, LLC requested entry into the PRG for the MATL Project. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | S | | 10-09-09 By e-mail this date MATL distributed a letter to its PRG outlining a scope change to the existing MATL Project, consisting of a 300 MW wind generation project near the midpoint of the MATL transmission line. Interconnection studies were previously completed and MATL asked the PRG what, if any, further studies need to be run. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | C | | 10-16-09 By e-mail this date BCTC responded to the MATL PRG request of 10-09-09. BCTC agreed that MATL should maintain its Phase III rating but would like to review the impact of the wind generation interconnection on the MATL path rating. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | C | | 10-22-09 By e-mail this date NaturEner USA, LLC provided information to the MATL PRG on their planned wind generation interconnection to the MATL Project. NaturEner also responded to the MATL PRG request of 10-09-09 by saying that MATL should maintain its Phase III rating and status but that additional sensitivity studies need to be performed. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | C | | 10-23-09 By e-mail this date the Alberta Electric System Operator responded to the MATL PRG request of 10-09-09 by saying that MATL should maintain its Phase III rating and status but that additional sensitivity studies need to be performed. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | C | | 10-23-09 By e-mail this date Avista responded to the MATL PRG request of 10-09-09 by saying that MATL should maintain its Phase III rating and status but that additional sensitivity studies need to be performed. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | C | | 10-28-09 By e-mail this date BCTC provided another response to the MATL PRG request. BCTC stated that regarding the 300 MW wind interconnection, the MATL Project should revert to Phase II status and that additional sensitivity studies need to be completed. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | C | | 10-28-09 By e-mail this date TransCanada stated that two PRG members requested that the MATL Project revert to Phase II status due to the 300 MW Wind Generation Interconnection. TransCanada stated that they believe there is sufficient cause to revisit the rating and will continue to participate in the PRG. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | C | | 10-30-09 By e-mail this date NaturEner requested that MATL schedule a scoping meeting to address all outstanding issues and concerns to get the study work started. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | S/C | | S 11-18-09 By e-mail MATL distributed the SIS for the Hay Lake Wind Generation project, requested availability for a wind generation scoping meeting, and documented the results of MATL's request for feedback regarding the impacts of interconnecting wind generation on MATL's Phase 3 status.  S 11-30-09 By e-mail the PCC Chair indicated that the MATL project with no wind generation will remain in Phase 3. The Phase 3 status of MATL with wind generation is contingent on successful completion of additional studies as specified by the MATL PRG.  S 11-30-09 A scoping meeting was held in Calgary to initiate studies to determine the impact of the addition of 300 MW of wind generation at Hay Lake to the Accepted Rating of MATL.  S 12-15-09 PRG meeting #2 was held. The focus of this meeting was the study timeline and study scope.  S 01-15-10 By e-mail MATL distributed draft base cases to the MATL PRG for review and comment.  S 02-02-10 PRG meeting #3 was held. The focus of this meeting was development of the study base cases.  S 02-24-10 PRG meeting #4 was held. The focus of this meeting was the study scope and the development of the study base cases.  S 03-09-10 PRG Meeting #5 was held.  S 03-23-10 PRG meeting #6 was held. The study scope was discussed.  S 04-13-10 PRG meeting #7 was held.  S 06-24-10 PRG meeting #8 was held. The study scope was discussed.  S 07-14-10 PRG meeting #9 was held. The study scope was discussed.  S 07-21-10 By e-mail, the final MATL Wind Addition Study Scope was distributed.  S 08-04-10 PRG meeting #13 was held. Some additional comments on the MATL Wind Addition Study Scope were received. An update on the base case development was provided.  S 09-24-10 By e-mail, notification was provided that revised MATL base cases are available on the MATL ftp site.  S 02-09-11 A PRG meeting was held. Preliminary results from the non-simultaneous rating verification studies were discussed.  S 03-30-11 A PRG meeting was held. Revised Preliminary results from the non-simultaneous rating verification studies were discussed.  C 08-18-11 By e-mail a formal letter updating the new ISD as mid-2012 was sent to the PRG members.  S 11-07-11 Meeting with the PRG members to discuss preliminary results of Power-flow and Post-transient studies.  S 11-07-11 A follow-up meeting on 29-Nov-11 was scheduled to present the final study results.  S 11-07-11 During the meeting, it was discussed that the transient work for the integration studies for verifying the path rating have been done and these study cases are available for use in the design of Remedial Action Scheme (RAS)  C 11-07-11 During the meeting, issue of nomograms was raised by the PRG members. It was concluded that as per the study scope, USE (MATL’s Consultants) will study the impact of the wind generation additions at Hay Lake on the nomograms in the Phase II report from July 24, 2007 in order to identify any new incremental limitations.  S 11-28-11 By e-mail MATL sent the study results to the PRG members prior to the meeting.  S 11-29-11 Meeting with the PRG members to discuss the study results and resolve any outstanding issues.  S 11-29-11 Review and approval of MATL’s November 07, 2011 PRG meeting notes  S 11-29-11 During the meeting, MATL presented four (4) options to the PRG for developing the nomograms for the study. These were: 1) Recreate the nomograms using the current study base cases. 2) Recreate a limited sample of nomogram points using current study base cases. 3) Create new nomograms with wind added using the 2007 PRR study cases. 4) Create a limited sample of nomogram points with wind added using the 2007 PRR study base cases.  S 12-01-11 By e-mail and in accordance with discussion of November 29, 2011 meeting, MATL sent a document presenting four options for determining if the addition of wind generation to the MATL line will impact the nomograms previously determined during the original MATL Project Rating Review Phase 2 studies. MATL requested the PRG members to review and provide their preference on how they would like MATL to prepare the nomograms.  S 12-02-11 By e-mail and in accordance with discussion of November 29, 2011 meeting, MATL sent the final/approved copy of the November 07, 2011 PRG meeting notes.  S 12-15-11 By e-mail and based on PRG members response to the nomogram options, MATL confirmed that USE (MATL’s consultant) will recreate all nomograms from the 2007 PRG report using current cases.  S 12-15-11 By e-mail MATL sent the notes from MATL’s November 29, 2011 PRG meeting  C 01-13-12 By e-mail Powerex provided comments to the November 29, 2011 meeting notes. All the PRG members were copied on this email.  S 01-18-12 By e-mail MATL addressed the comments made by Powerex. All the PRG members were copied on this email.  S 01-18-12 By e-mail MATL sent the schedule for the completion of remaining study work to the PRG members. May 23, 2012 was identified as the projected completion and approval by PCC.  S 01-30-12 By e-mail Powerex requested .raw version of the latest Heavy Summer case, specifically the latest version of Case No 10.  S 02-01-12 By e-mail and as requested by Powerex, MATL sent the .raw version of the base case to Esther Yu (Powerex)  S 02-02-12 By e-mail USE (MATL’s consultant) sent zip files containing the 13 Power Flow bases cases (BC\*r2b.sav) (in raw format) that were used for the power flow study. It was noted that these same cases are being used for the transient cases with minor changes in the treatment of the SVCs at Langdon, Keeler, and Maple Valley. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | S | | 7/5/2012 By email the MATL PRG members received a revised version of the Phase 2 Study Report. Comments on how the way previously received comments were addressed are to be submitted by July 12, 2012. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | S | | 9-11-12 By email the 30 day PCC review was initiated. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | S | | 10-5-12 By email MATL’s project sponsor provided an updated report to address issues identified by BC Hydro and Powerex. This report has been posted on the WECC website. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | S | | 10-22-12 By email MATL’s project sponsor provided an updated version of the Phase II Study Report that addressed comments received. The report has been posted for 30 day PRG review. Comments were sent from Northwestern Energy and WAPA UM. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | N | | 11-30-12 By email this day from the PCC chair, the accepted rating of 325 N-S and 300 S-N was granted. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | S | | 6-18-13 By email this day MATL PRG members were notified that no comments had been received and that all outstanding issues had been addressed. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | C | | 6-19-13 By email this date it was confirmed that the PRG assessed the changes in the project scope did not deem any changes were required to the path rating phase. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | S | | 11-25-13 By email this date, notification that the project is in-service and the accepted rating of 325 MW N-S and 300 MW S-N was in effect. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 01-22-13 | PacifiCorp (PAC) | N | **TOT 4A/4B (Path 37/38) Project** | 12/2013 |
|  |  | N | 01-22-13 By e-mail this date, PacifiCorp (PAC) initiated the Expedited Rating Process for the TOT 4A/4B (Path 37/38) Project. The Comprehensive Progress Report was posted on the WECC website for 60 day review on this date as well.   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | WECC Path  Name / Number | Without Standpipe 230kV Additions Rating | With Standpipe 230kV Additions Rating | | TOT 4A (37) | 960 MW | 1025 MW | | TOT 4B (38) | 880 MW | 880 MW |   In addition, a request for interest in participation in a Project Review Group was made. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 4-17-13 By email this date this project achieved phase 3. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 4-30-13 By email this date it was announced that all facilities required to support this project were in service and it has completed phase 3. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 09-29-97 | PacifiCorp | N | **Midpoint-Summer Lake 500 kV Line Rating** | 2011 |
|  |  | S | 06-14-00 By letter dated June 14, 2000, TSS granted Phase 2 status for the Midpoint-Summer Lake Project. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 07-22-09 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter indicating that on 07/09/09, members of the Project Review Group reached agreement on the Phase II Project Rating Report issued on 05/13/09. As a result, the Midpoint-Summer Lake Project was granted Phase III status and an Accepted Rating of 550 MW west to east. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 06-01-10 By e-mail this date PacifiCorp distributed a letter outlining a change in the plan-of-service for the West to East rating of the Midpoint-Summer Lake project. During project implementation, PacifiCorp encountered physical constraints on the 500 kV Meridian substation footprint and has determined that the Meridian 230 kV substation would be a more suitable location. An updated in-service date for the project will be provided when bids for the Meridian 230 kV shunt capacitors have been received and evaluated. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | C | 06-01-10 By e-mail this date the TSS Chair submitted a letter to PacifiCorp concerning the plan-of-service change of 06-01-10. The TSS Chair indicated that PacifiCorp will need to (1) consult with the Phase II PRG to determine if the plan-of-service change adversely impacts the Accepted Rating and if the project status should revert to Phase II, (2) consult with the Phase II PRG to determine if additional study work is necessary, and (3) promptly notify PCC and TSS regarding the determination of the Phase II PRG. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 09-02-10 By e-mail this date PacifiCorp distributed notice of project plan of service updates. Required capacitor banks originally planned to be installed at PacifiCorp’s Meridian 500 kV substation are now planned to be installed on the 230 kV bus and will be installed by 05/01/11. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | C | 09-07-10 By e-mail this date BPA requested an update on other requirements such as inclusion into BPA’s FACRI, Operating Nomograms, etc. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 10-05-11 By e-mail this date PacifiCorp provided an update on the Midpoint-Summer Lake Upgrade Project relative to the 06-01-10 plan-of-service update. Two 230 kV shunt cap banks at Meridian substation which are required to support the increased east-bound rating on Path 75 of 550 MW were placed in-service on 08-05-11 and are currently under manual control of BPA Operations. However, automatic control of the cap banks via the Northwest FACRI RAS will not be complete until 04-12. Until that time, BPA has requested that the W-E transfer capability of Path 75 be limited to its previous rating of 400 MW. On completion of the FACRI modifications, this rating will be increased to 550 MW. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | C | 01-08-13 By email this date it was announced that construction was completed and Hemmingway – Summer Lake completed phase 3. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 02-01-11 | Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) | N | **TOT 5 (Path 39) Re-definition Project** | 2011 |
|  |  | N | 02-01-11 By e-mail this date, WAPA initiated the Expedited Rating Process for the TOT 5 (Path 39) Re-definition Project. This project involves the re-definition of Path 39 due to minor modifications to the path (addition of intermediate bus, relocation of and reduction in number of meters, etc.) and the maintenance of its current “Existing” rating. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 06-10-11 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter granting Phase III status and an Existing Rating of 1680 MW to the TOT 5 (Path 39) Re-definition Project. |  |
|  |  | C | 01-13-12 By email Bob Easton provided a letter stating that the TOT 5 project is completed. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 11-04-09 | SDGE | N | **Sunrise Powerlink Project** | 6/2012 |
|  |  | S | 05-03-06 By letter this date the WECC staff distributed a letter announcing that Sunrise Powerlink has achieved Phase 2 Status. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 11-04-09 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter indicating that the Sunrise Powerlink Project has achieved Phase III status for an Accepted Rating of 1000 MW. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 06-23-11 By e-mail this date SDGE distributed a letter indicating that the Sunrise Powerlink Project is being withdrawn from the WECC Project Rating Review Process. The project is not cancelled but an Accepted Rating is no longer being pursued. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 11-15-06 | Los Angeles Department of Water & Power (LADWP) | N | | **Path 27 Upgrade (Intermountain Southern Transmission System DC Line)** | 11/2010 |
|  |  | S | | 11-10-06 By e-mail this date, the WECC Staff distributed a letter indicating that the Path 27 Upgrade Project has achieved Phase 2 status. |  |
|  |  | |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | | 10-03-07 By letter this date the PCC Chair indicated that the Path 27 IPPDC Upgrade Project has achieved Phase III status for an accepted rating of 2400 MW. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | S | | 05-04-11 By e-mail this date LDWP distributed a letter indicating that the Intermountain Power Agency declared and accepted the Plan-of-Service at Intermountain Converter Station and Adelanto Converter Station for commercial operation. LDWP states that the Path 27 Upgrade Project is complete for an accepted rating of 2400 MW N-S. This project will be removed from future distributions of this Phase III log. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 11-19-07 | PacifiCorp | N | **Populus - Terminal Transmission Project** | 2010 |
|  |  | S | 09-16-08 By e-mail this date, the TSS Chair distributed a letter indicating that the Populus-Terminal Transmission Project has achieved Phase II status. Comments to the CPR were received from Idaho Power Co., NorthWestern Energy, and the Western Area Power Administration. All parties agreed that their comments can be addressed during the Phase II study process and PacifiCorp is committed to do so. These three entities also agreed to participate in the Phase II Project Review Group. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 11-20-08 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter granting Phase III status to the Populus-Terminal Project with an Accepted Rating of 1600 MW north-to-south and 1250 MW south-to-north. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 11-29-10 By e-mail this date a letter from PacifiCorp was distributed indicating that the Populus-Terminal Project was completed and placed in-service on 11-19-10. As a result, Path C now has an accepted rating of 1600 MW N-S and 1250 MW S-N. This project is complete with all elements in-service and will be removed from future distributions of the Phase III log. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 02-24-10 | Idaho Power Co. | | N | | **Path 14 & Path 75 Redefinition and Hemingway In/Out Transmission Project** | ? | |
|  |  | | N | | 02-24-10 By e-mail this date, Idaho Power Co. initiated the Expedited Project Rating Review Process for the Path 14 & Path 75 Redefinition and Hemingway In/Out Transmission Project. This project consists of a new tap on the existing Midpoint-Summer Lake 500 kV line (Hemingway 500 kV) that effectively relocates a metering point on these paths to the Hemingway station. Idaho Power does not seek a new rating on Paths 14 and 75 but wishes to maintain the existing/accepted ratings on these paths. |  | |
|  | |  |  | |  | |  |
|  |  | | S | | 06-08-10 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter indicating that the Path 14 & Path 75 redefinition and the Hemingway in/out Transmission Project has achieved Phase III status. Paths 14 and 75 are redefined and maintain their currently accepted ratings (Path 14: 1200 MW W-E and 2400 MW E-W) and (Path 75: 550 MW W-E and 1500 MW E-W). This project is complete with all elements in-service and will be removed from future distributions of the Phase III log. |  | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 09-30-05 | WAPA | N | **Path 36 (TOT3) Upgrade (Miracle Mile - Ault 230 kV Transmission line)** | 01/2010 |
|  |  | S | 04-10-06 By letter this date the TSS Chair confirmed that the proposed rating increase for Path 36 due to the construction of the Miracle Mile-Ault 230 kV line project has achieved Phase 2 status for a new rating of 1680 MW. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 07-16-08 By e-mail this date a letter from the PCC Chair was distributed indicating that WAPA’s TOT 3 Upgrade Project (Miracle Mile-Ault 230 kV transmission line) has achieved Phase III status with an accepted rating of 1680 MW. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 08-16-10 By e-mail this date WAPA provided notice that the Path 36 Upgrade Project is in-service and complete as of June 15, 2010. This project will be removed from future distributions of the list of Phase 3 Projects. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 03-25-08 | Idaho Power Company (IPC) | N | **Path 55 Brownlee East Increase to 1915 MW** | 6/2008 |
|  |  | N | 03-25-08 By e-mail this date Idaho Power Company (IPC) requested the Expedited Review Process for the Path 55 Brownlee East Increase to 1915 MW. The project consists of facility additions of a 75 MVAR 230 kV shunt capacitor at Brownlee and increasing Ontario C231 series capacitor ampacity rating from 1430 to 1590 amperes in the Brownlee-Ontario 230 kV line. The project is necessary to provide network transmission service for the Elkhorn Wind Generation Project (65 MW), which is integrated on the Brownlee-Quartz-LaGrande 230 kV line by the North Powder Switching Station near North Powder, OR. The Comprehensive Progress Report was submitted on this date for 60-day review and interest in participating in a Project Review Group was requested. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 06-14-10 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed notification that the Path 55 Brownlee East Increase to 1915 MW project has achieved Phase III status with an accepted rating of 1915 MW. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 07-27-10 By e-mail this date IPC indicated that all elements of this project are complete and in-service. This entry will be removed from future distributions of this document. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 11-03-05 | IID / LADWP |  | | **Indian Hills-Upland Project (GPN)** | 11/2010 |
|  |  | S | | 02-23-06 By letter this date the TSS Chair granted Phase 2 Status to the Indian Hills-Upland Project. |  |
|  |  | |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | | 08-06-07 By e-mail this date a letter from the PCC Chair was distributed indicating that the GPN Project has achieved Phase III status with an accepted rating of 1200 MW. |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | S | | 05-26-10 By e-mail this date LADWP indicated that this project has been cancelled. It will be removed from future distributions. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 03-12-07 | Salt River Project | N | **Path 54 Uprate (Springerville Unit 4)** | 12/2009 |
|  |  | S | 06-20-07 By letter this date the TSS Chair indicated that SRP has completed all Phase I requirements and has achieved Phase II status for the Path 54 Uprate (Springerville Unit 4) to a rating of 1496 MW. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 10-16-07 By e-mail this date SRP distributed a PRG-approved Phase II Project Rating Report for 30-day review and requested Phase III status for an accepted rating of 1494 MW. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 12-05-07 By e-mail this date a letter from the PCC Chair was distributed indicating that the Path 54 Uprate (Springerville Unit #4) has achieved Phase III status for an accepted rating of 1494 MW. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 03-09-10 By e-mail this date SRP distributed a letter stating that the complete Plan of Service has been constructed and placed in-service. Phase III for this project is now complete. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 11-30-09 | Arizona Public Service Company (APS) | N | **Increase the Southern Navajo (Path 51) Path Rating to 3,200 MW** | 2010 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 11-07-07 By e-mail this date the TSS chair distributed a letter granting Phase II status to the Southern Navajo Upgrade Project for a proposed rating of 3200 MW. No comments to the CPR and no interest in formation of a review group was received. The project involves only upgrades to the four existing series capacitors in the path. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 11-30-09 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed notice that the Path 51 Uprate Project has successfully completed Phase II and achieved Phase III status for an Accepted Rating of 2800 MW. (Since initiation of this project, which includes upgrades to the four series capacitors located within Path 51, the original proposed rating of 3200 MW has been reduced to 2800 MW.) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 02-08-10 By e-mail this date the Arizona Public Service Company TSS representative confirmed that the Path 51 Rating Increase Project is complete and in-service. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 11-30-09 | San Diego Gas & Electric  (SDG&E) | | N | | **Path 45 Terminal Change** | | 2009 | |
|  | |  |  | |  | |  | |
|  |  | | N | | 05-01-09 By e-mail this date, San Diego Gas & Electric initiated the Expedited Review Process for the Path 45 Terminal Change. The project consists of the re-definition of Path 45 (SDG&E & CFE) by revising the termination substation of the Miguel-Tijuana 230 kV line, one of the elements of Path 45. No change in rating is requested. | |  | |
|  | |  |  | |  | |  | |
|  |  | | S | | 11-30-09 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter granting Phase III status to the Path 45 Terminal Change (Project followed the Expedited Rating Process). The new Path 45 definition is Tijuana-Otay Mesa 230 kV and La Rosita-Imperial Valley 230 kV. | |  | |
|  |  | |  | |  | |  | |
|  |  | | N | | 02-03-10 By e-mail this date the TSS Chair confirmed that the Path 45 Terminal Change is complete and in-service. | |  | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 05-13-04 | SRP |  | **EOR Path Rating Expansion by Upgrading the Mead - Phoenix Project (EOR9000+ Project)** | April 2009 |
|  |  | N | 05-19-04 By e-mail this date SRP:  1) distributed a May 13 letter indicating that it proposed increasing the EOR to at least 9000 MW by upgrading series capacitors and installing static and dynamic voltage support devices,  2) distributed a comprehensive progress report, and  3) solicited interest in participation in a Regional Planning Review Group, and  4) requested Phase 2 status. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 09-12-05 By letter this date, the PCC Chair issued a letter indicating that the EOR Path Rating Expansion by Upgrading the Mead - Phoenix Project (EOR9000+ Project)has achieved Phase 3 status and an accepted rating of 9,300 MW as described in the final report. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 12-09-09 By e-mail this date the Salt River Project TSS representative confirmed that the EOR 9300 MW Project is complete and in-service. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 03-31-05 | AVA/BPA |  | **West of Hatwai System Upgrade Projects** | December 2006 |
|  |  | N | 03-31-04 By e-mail this date AVA and BPA distributed a March 30, 2004 letter including:   1. a comprehensive progress report regarding the West of Hatwai System Upgrade Projects, 2. notice of the formation of a review group, 3. notice that a May 27, 2004 review meeting is scheduled in Portland. 4. notice of their intention to request Phase 2 status in 60 days. 5. announcement of an intended transfer capability of 4277 MW. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 03-01-05 By e-mail this date, the WECC staff sent the Path 6, West of Hatwai Review Group Rating Report to the PCC Chair, PCC members and OC members for comment. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 03-31-05 By e-mail this date, the PCC Chair issued a letter indicating that Path 6 West of Hatwai System Upgrade Projects has achieved Phase III status and an accepted rating of 4277 MW east to west as described in the report. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 02-16-09 By e-mail this date AVA distributed a letter indicating that all transmission upgrades deemed part of the West of Hatwai System Upgrade are complete and in-service. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 05-24-02 | IPC |  | **Borah West 250 MW Uprate Project** | June 2007 |
|  |  | N | 05-24-02 By e-mail this date Idaho Power Company distributed a Comprehensive Progress Report for the Borah West 250 MW Uprate Project. IPC requested that any comments or requests for the formation of a review group be returned to them by July 1, 2002. IPC indicated that if no comments are received they will request Phase 2 status for this project. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | C | 03-24-03 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter granting Phase 3 Status to the Borah West 250 MW Uprate Project. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 11-16-07 By e-mail this date the Idaho Power Company TSS representative confirmed that the Borah West 250 MW Uprate Project was complete and in-service as of June 2007. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 01-30-07 | Salt River Project | N | **Path 54 Accepted Rating and Re-definition (Springerville Unit 3)** | 2007 |
| 04-11-07 |  | S | 04-11-07 By e-mail this date a letter from the TSS Chair was distributed granting the Path 54 Accepted Rating and Re-definition Project Phase II status. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 10-16-07 By e-mail this date SRP distributed a PRG-approved Phase II Project Rating Report for 30-day review and requested Phase III status for an accepted rating of 1133 MW on Path 54. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 12-05-07 By e-mail this date a letter from the PCC Chair was distributed indicating that the Path 54 Uprate (Springerville Unit #3) has achieved Phase III status for an accepted rating of 1133 MW. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 12-05-07 By e-mail this date SRP stated that this project is in-service and complete. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 03-30-07 | Sierra Pacific Resources | N | **Centennial Path Re-Definition** | 2007 |
|  |  | N | 03-30-07 By e-mail this date Sierra Pacific Resources requested initiation of an expedited  review process for the Centennial Path definition change. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 07-26-07 By e-mail this date a letter from the PCC Chair was distributed granting the Centennial Path Re-Definition Project Phase III Status. The original rating of 3000 MW (N-S) is preserved but metering points on path components are changed. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 10-14-03 | NEVP |  | **Centennial 500 kV Project** | 2003- May 2007 |
|  |  | N | 10-14-03 By e-mail this date, Salt River Company (SRP) and Nevada Power Company (NEVP) distributed a comprehensive progress report and requested the expedited process for the Centennial 500 kV Project. The notice also solicited interest in participation in a WECC Peer Review Group. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 05-21-04 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter indicating that the Centennial 500 kV Project had achieved Phase 3 status with a rating of 3000 MW. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 01-17-05 By e-mail this date SCE provided notes from the January 11, 2005 WATS/WECC peer review group meeting, and attendance list, and results of studies presented by CISO depicting flow duration curves from a production costing simulation with various AZ to California upgrade projects added. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | C | 02-22-05 By e-mail this date, CISO distributed an e-mail from NEVP indicating the identification of SSR problems and recommended the problems be presented to the WATS review team. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | C | 03-02-05 By e-mail this date, NEVP provided comments regarding simultaneous studies with the accepted Phase 3 project, Centennial. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 03-30-05 By e-mail this date CISO changed the date for the WATS/WECC Peer Review Meeting in San Diego, CA from April 18 to April 20. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 04-24-07 By e-mail this date NEVP distributed notification the Centennial Project has been completed. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 03-21-06 | California Independent System Operator (CISO) |  | **Path 46 Short Term Upgrades (West of Colorado River)** | 2006 |
|  |  | N | 03-21-05 By letter this date California Independent System Operator (CISO) requested the formation of a review group and Phase 1 status for increasing the Path 46 rating. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 10-13-06 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair confirmed that the Path 46 Short-Term Upgrades (West-of-Colorado River) Project has achieved an Accepted Rating of 10,623 MW in the east to west direction as described in the report and is granted Phase 3, subject to completion of the identified upgrades. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 01-18-07 By e-mail this date the TSS Chair indicated that the Path 46 Short-Term Upgrades Project is complete and in-service. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 04-16-01 | PNM |  | **Northern New Mexico Transmission Development** | 12-31-06 |
| 10-2-96 | TSGT  (Formerly PEGT) |  | **Colorado-New Mexico 230 kV Interconnection Project (CNMIP) [Previously known as Northeast New Mexico Reinforcement (NNMR)]** |  |
|  |  | N | 10-24-96 By letter dated October 22, 1996, PEGT announced an October 29, 1996 kickoff meeting for joint planning studies of increasing the reliability of the northeastern New Mexico area. This letter referred to an October 2, 1996 letter announcing the project. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | 04-16-01 By e-mail this date Public Service Company of New Mexico announced their intent to enlarge their northern New Mexico transmission system and solicited interest from potential participants. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 05-05-06 By letter this date the PCC Chair indicated that Path 48 has completed the WECC Project Rating Review Process and achieved Accepted Ratings of 1849 MW (simultaneous) and 1970 MW (non-simultaneous). |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 10-06-06 | Salt River Project (SRP) |  | **Path 63 Re-definition (Perkins-Mead-Marketplace 500 kV Line)** | 2006 |
|  |  | N | 10-06-06 By letter this date, the Salt River Project (SRP) requested the expedited review process for the re-definition of Path 63 to include only the Perkins-Mead 500 kV line. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 12-12-06 By e-mail this date, the PCC Chair distributed a letter granting Phase 3 status to the Path 63 Re-definition. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 01-24-05 | CISO |  | **Path 26 Upgrade III Project** | 2005 |
|  |  | N | 01-24-05 By e-mail dated Jan 27 the CISO:  1) distributed a letter indicating that it proposes to increase Path 26 to 4000 MW.  2) solicited interest in participation in a Regional Planning Review Group. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 05-05-06 By letter this date the PCC Chair indicated Path 26 (Northern-Southern California) has achieved an Accepted Rating of 4000 MW in the north to south direction and is granted Phase 3. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 05-31-06 By e-mail this date the CISO redistributed the 05-05-06 PCC Chair letter because there was an error in the previous distribution e-mail. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 06-30-06 By e-mail dated 08-14-06 CISO indicated the Path 26 Upgrade III Project is complete. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 05-21-04 |  |  | **Path 26 Upgrade II Project** |  |
|  |  | N | 05-21-04 By e-mail this date WECC distributed a CISO April 8, 2004 letter including:   1. A comprehensive progress report regarding increasing the rating of Path 26 from 3400 to 3700 MW during 2005. 2. Requesting interest in formation of a review group, 3. Requesting Phase II status. | Summer 2005 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 03-31-05 By e-mail this date CISO distributed the Path 26 Upgrade II-Final Review Group Rating Report to the Review Group. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 05-02-05 By e-mail this date, the PCC Chair issued a letter indicating that the Path 26 Upgrade II Project has achieved Phase III status and an accepted rating of 3700 MW as described in the report. |  |
|  |  | S | 01-06-06 By e-mail this date CISO indicated the Path 26 Upgrade II Project is complete. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 04-19-05 |  | N | **Path 18 – AMPS Upgrade for Montana–Idaho** | July 2005 |
|  |  | S | 04-19-05 By letter this date NWMT sent a letter including:   1. A comprehensive progress report regarding increasing the rating of Path 18 from 337 to 356 MW during 2005. 2. A Request that the process be expedited, because NWMT wants to use the new OTC rating as soon as the improvements described in the report are in place. 3. A request for interest in formation of a review group. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 06-20-05 By letter this date, NWMT sent a letter noting that:   1. The 60-day comments period for the expedited process was completed, 2. No comments were received that were unresolved, 3. There were no requests to form a review group, 4. The comprehensive distributed 04-19-05 becomes the final progress report.   NWMT requested Phase 3 status for the project. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 06-29-05 By e-mail this date, the PCC Chair issued a letter indicating that the Path 18 Upgrade for Montana-Idaho has achieved Phase 3 status and an accepted rating of 356 MW as described in the report. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 01-06-06 By e-mail this date NWMT announced the Path 18 – AMPS Upgrade for Montana-Idaho was completed and the new path rating is in force. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 02-10-04 | IPC |  | **Path 55 (Brownlee East) Upgrade** | In service |
|  |  | N | 02-10-04 By e-mail this date Idaho Power Company (IPC) distributed a Comprehensive Progress Report for the upgrade of Path 55 (Brownlee East) from 1750 MW to 1850 MW. IPC requested those interested in the formation of a Review Group to contact IPC and is requesting the expedited process for achieving the new rating. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 06-01-04 By e-mail this date, the PCC chair issued a letter granting Phase III status for the Path 55 Upgrade to 1850 MW. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 12-19-03 | LDWP |  | **Path 41 Rating Increase** | In service |
|  |  | N | 12-19-03 By e-mail this date, Los Angeles Department of Water & Power (LDWP) distributed a comprehensive progress report and requested the expedited process for increasing the rating of Path 41 through the installation of a third parallel transformer. The notice also solicited interest in participation in a WECC Peer Review Group. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 05-24-04 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter indicating that the Path 41 Rating increase had achieved Phase 3 status with a bi-directional rating of 1600 MW. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 07-11-02 | CISO |  | **Path 26 Rating Increase** | In service |
|  |  | N | 07-11-02 By e-mail this date, a letter dated July 1, 2002, distributing the Comprehensive Progress Report for the Path 26 Rating Increase and requesting Phase 2 status was distributed. The letter requested those interested in participating in a Project Review Group respond by September 2, 2002. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 03-04-03 By e-mail this date the TSS Chair distributed a letter granting the Path 26 Upgrade Project Phase 2 Status. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 07-17-03 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter granting the Path 26 Rating Increase Project Phase 3 Status confirming an Accepted Rating of 3400 MW in the north to south direction. The Existing Rating in the south to north direction remains unchanged at 3000 MW. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 02-09-04 | EPE |  | **Re-Definition of Path 47** | In service |
|  |  | N | 02-09-04 By e-mail this date El Paso Electric Company (EPE) distributed a letter indicating they have changed the definition of Path 47 and that this change has no impact on the accepted rating. To preserve the integrity of the accepted rating EPE intends to follow the WECC Expedited Rating Process to change the definition of the path. Indicating that all the affected parties are already aware of this change and have no concerns, EPE did not distribute any studies. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 02-09-04 By e-mail this date, PNM reported it is familiar with the modified description of Path 47 and do not have any concerns. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 01-06-05 By letter this date, the PCC chair confirmed that the path 47 rating retained an accepted status with the change in metering point. This item will be removed from the active log next time it is distributed. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 08-14-02 | PG&E |  | **Path 15 Upgrade (north-to-south)** |  |
|  |  | N | 08-14-02 By e-mail this date, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) distributed a letter dated August 12, 2002 announcing their intent to increase the north-to-south rating of Path 15 from 1900 MW to 3000 MW. The letter identifies a Comprehensive Progress Report posted on the WECC web site. The necessary facilities are those being built for the increased south-to-north rating which is currently in Phase 2. PG&E requested that any comments be provided by October 12, 2002 and invited those interested in the formation of a review group to respond by October 12, 2002. PG&E indicated their intent to address any comments received on the report in Phase 2, and therefore requested Phase 2 Status. | In Service |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 10-23-03 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter indicating that the Path 15 Upgrade Project (n-s) had achieved Phase 3 status with a rating of 3265 MW in the north to south direction. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 12-04 This project was placed in service during December 2004. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 04-05-01 | PG&E |  | **Path 15 Upgrade (south-to-north)** |  |
|  |  | N | 04-05-01 By e-mail this date, Pacific Gas and Electric announced their intent to upgrade the south-to-north rating of Path 15 from 3750 MW to approximately 5000 MW. PG&E will be conducting the necessary Phase 1 Studies. Questions should be directed to Ben Morris (415) 973-7687. | In Service |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 02-05-03 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter indicating that the Path 15 Upgrade Project (s-n) had achieved Phase 3 status. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 12-04 This project was placed in service during December 2004. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 05-24-02 | SCE |  | **Eagle Mountain 230/161 kV-Blythe 161 kV Tie Rating Increase (Path 59)** |  |
|  |  | N | 05-24-02 By e-mail this date Southern California Edison (SCE) distributed a Comprehensive Progress Report for a proposed path rating increase for the Eagle Mountain 230/161 kV-Blythe 161 kV Tie (PATH 59) from 72 MW to 218 MW. The new rating would also include a revised definition of the path. SCE requested those interested in the formation of a Review Group to contact SCE and is requesting the expedited process for achieving the new rating. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 09-24-02 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter granting Phase 3 status and an Accepted Rating of 218 MW east-to-west for Path 59, contingent upon completion of the upgrades assumed in the Rating Report. Path 59 continues to have an Existing Rating of 72 MW in the west-to-east direction. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 04-07-03 | SDGE |  | **Path 45 (CAISO-CFE) Uprate** |  |
|  |  | N | 04-07-03 By e-mail this date, a letter from San Diego Gas and Electric (SDGE) was distributed announcing SDGE’s intentions to complete the Part B study (800 MW northbound during summer) prior to summer of 2003, and solicited interest in being added to the review group. On November 1, 2001, an 800 MW rating was granted during fall/winter/spring conditions based on the studies conducted during Part A. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 07-17-03 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter granting the Path 45 Upgrade Project Phase 3 Status confirming an Accepted Rating of 800 MW in the northbound flow direction. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 03-19-02 | PSC |  | **TOT 3 Path Uprate** |  |
|  |  | N | 03-19-02 By e-mail this date Public Service Company of Colorado distributed a letter dated March 15, 2002 announcing their intent to follow the WSCC Expedited Rating Process to change the Accepted Rating of TOT3 from 1588 MW to 1605 MW. PSC also distributed the Comprehensive Progress Report for the Revised Rating of the TOT3 Transfer Path and invited all members interested in the formation of a review group to contact them within 60 days. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 05-30-02 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter granting Phase 3 Status and an Accepted Rating of 1605 MW in the north-to-south direction to the TOT 3 transfer path (Path 36). |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 01-15-01 | MPC |  | **Path 8 (Montana-Northwest) Uprate** |  |
|  |  | N | 01-15-01 By e-mail this date The Montana Power Company distributed a comprehensive progress report for re-rating the Montana-Northwest path (Path 8)in the west-to-east direction from 600 MW to 1350 MW. MPC is requesting the expedited process for re-rating this path. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 05-06-02 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter granting Phase 3 Status and an Accepted Rating for Path 8 in the west-to-east direction of 1350 MW. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 03-23-01 | SDGE |  | **South of San Onofre (Path 44) Rating Update** | Comments due  May 23, 2001 |
|  |  | N | 03-23-01 By e-mail this date San Diego Gas and Electric announced their intent to request the expedited process for increasing the north-to-south rating of Path 44 (with one segment of the Palo Verde- Miguel line open) from 2400 MW to 2500 MW and distributed a comprehensive progress report summarizing the study assumptions, methodology, and results. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | C | 06-26-01 By e-mail this date the PCC Chairman distributed a letter granting Path 44 Phase 3 status and an accepted rating of 2500 MW north-to-south whenever any segment of SDGE’s 500 kV Southwest Power Link is out of service, subject to installation of the 230 kV transformer at Escondido Substation, as discussed in the Accepted Rating Report. The Accepted Rating Report was also distributed to PCC, OC, and TSS. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 01-09-01 | CORL |  | **Path 45 (CAISO-CFE) Uprate** | 2003 |
|  |  | N | 01-09-01 By e-mail this date a letter from Coral Energy dated December 12, 2000, was distributed. The project owner is Energia Azteca X.S. de R.L. De C.V., an affiliate of InterGen Energy. Coral Energy is requesting the expedited process for a rating increase of Path 45 rating from 408 MW to at least 796 MW. The uprate will be facilitated by conductoring the vacant side of the existing La Rosita-Imperial Valley 230 kV transmission line towers. A comprehensive report will be submitted to TSS, PCC, OC, CMOPS, and TOS identifying the proposed pat rating increase. The need to complete the regional planning process will be discussed at the February 2001 PCC meeting. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 11-01-01 by e-mail this date the PCC Chair granted Phase 3 status to the Path 45 Uprate Project with an accepted rating of 800 MW south-to-north contingent on the completion of the reconductoring of the Imperial Valley-La Rosita 230 kV line. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | 2-01-02 by e-mail this date SDGE informed the WSCC Staff that the Imperial Valley-La Rosita 230 kV line reconductoring was complete and energized, thus completing the requirements for the Phase 3 status. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 8-20-97 | IPC |  | **Brownlee-Boise 230 kV Project** | 8/2001 |
|  |  | N | 8-20-97 By letter dated August 18, 1997, IPC solicited expressions of interest in improved transfer capability and system reliability across a cutplane between Brownlee and Boise Bench Substation. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 04-30-01 By e-mail this date, The PCC Chair granted Phase 3 status to the Brownlee-Boise Project indicating the Brownlee East transmission path (Path 55) has achieved an Accepted Rating of 1750 MW west to east. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 11-15-00 | LDWP |  | **Victorville-Lugo Path Uprate** | 2001 |
|  |  | N | 11-15-00 By e-mail this date a letter dated November 7, 2000, and a progress report regarding the Victorville- Lugo 500kV Transmission Line Rating Study was distributed. LADWP and SCE announced their intent to follow the expedited process of achieving an Accepted Rating of 2400 MW from Victorville to Lugo the existing Victorville-Lugo 500 kV Transmission Line. | Comments due  1/16/01 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 04-24-01 By e-mail this date a letter from the PCC Chair granted Phase 3 Status to the Victorville-Lugo Path Uprate with an accepted rating of 2400 MW from Victorville to Lugo. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 05-23-00 | PSC |  | **TOT 3 Path Uprate** |  |
|  |  | N | 05-30-00 By letter dated May 23, 2000, PSC announced that they intend to follow the WSCC Expedited Rating Process to change the Accepted Rating of TOT3 from 1509 MW to 1588 MW. PSC also announced the formation of a Review Group and distributed the Comprehensive Progress Report for the Revised Rating of the TOT3 Transfer Path. | Comments due  7/23/2000 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 07-27-00 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter granting phase 3 status to the TOT 3 Path Uprate. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 11-19-99 | SDGE |  | **South of San Onofre (SOS) 500kV Path Rating** | - |
|  |  | P | 11-19-99 By e-mail dated November 19, 1999, SDGE announced that they intend to follow the WSCC Expedited Rating Process to achieve an Accepted Rating for the South of SONGS Path (WSCC Path 44) in the north-to-south direction. Currently this path has an accepted rating of 1800/1900 MW in the south-to-north direction, and no rating in the opposite direction. SDGE also transmitted the Comprehensive Progress Report for the project. | Comments due  1/19/2000 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 02-29-00 At the PCC meeting of February 11, 2000, an Accepted Rating was approved, of 2200 MW with all lines in service and 2400 MW with any segment of the Southwest PowerLink out of service. With this action, the project progressed to Phase 3. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 03-10-00 The PCC chairman issued a formal letter confirming the Accepted Rating. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5-12-99 | WAPA-RMR |  | **TOT 3 Path Uprate** | In service |
|  |  | N | 8-23-99 By letter dated May 12,1999, WAPA-RMR transmitted the Comprehensive Project Report for uprating of the TOT3 (Path 36) transmission path to 1509 MW. | Comments due  7/12/1999 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 8-23-99 By letter this date, PCC granted Phase 3 status and an accepted rating of 1509 MW. The original report was redistributed with this letter, and the PCC Chair asked that any concerns be addressed to him by 9-10-99. | Comments due  9/10/1999 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1-18-99 | SCE, SDGE, CAISO |  | **North and South of San Onofre Rerating Project** | In service |
|  |  | N | By letter dated January 18, 1999 SCE, SDGE, and CAISO requested immediate revisions to the description of existing ratings for Paths 43 and 44, following the WSCC expedited process for path rating. | Comments due  3/18/1999 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 5-19-99 By e-mail, PCC granted the request Phase 3 status. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 12-23-96 | NEVP |  | **Crystal Transmission Project** | In service |
|  |  | N | 12-23-96 By letter dated December 19, 1996, NEVP announced proposed transmission facilities and a new Crystal substation to eventually serve as the southern terminal of the SWIP project. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 3-03-99 By letter dated February 26, 1999, NEVP transmitted the Final Report of the Review Group. | Comments due  3/26/99 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 2-16-99 By letter dated February 8, 1999, LADWP approved the project to be granted Phase 3 status, but also identified additional studies which should be run. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 3-29-99 By letter dated March 26, 1999, SCE approved the project to be granted Phase 3 status, but commented that a SCIT impact analysis would be required, and that operating procedures would have to be developed according to the OTC process. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 4-13-99 By e-mail on April 13, 1999, PCC granted an Accepted Rating of 950 MW, and the project entered Phase 3. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 6-23-99 By e-mail on June 23, 1999, NEVP announced that the Crystal Transmission Project went into full commercial operation as of 6/21/99. | In service |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 4-17-95 | SPP |  | **Alturas Project** | In service |
|  |  | N | 4-12-95 By letter dated April 12, 1995, SPP announced the completion of the Alturas Project Review Group Rating Report and consensus of the Review Group. With this submittal, SPP requested a Project Accepted Rating from the PCC. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 7-31-95 By letter dated July 26, 1995, PCC granted the Alturas Project an Accepted Rating of 300 MW and the Project advanced to Phase 3 status. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 1-24-97 By letter dated January 17, 1997, PG&E raised concerns about the project rating, due to slippage of the schedule and simultaneous restrictions on the operation of COI, PDCI, and Alturas. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 2-3-1997 By letter dated January 23, 1997, SPP responded to concerns about the project raised by the 7/2/97 and 8/10/97 events, and stated their intention to complete the project by 12/21/97. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 4-2-97 By letter dated March 31, 1997, SPP announced that the projected in-service date for the project had been moved to the winter of 1997-98. | 11-97 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 6-30-97 By letter dated June 25, 1997, SPP requested a one-year extension of the completion deadline. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 7-7-97 By letter dated July 2, 1997, PG&E objected to any extension and indicated that the project should revert to Phase 2 status. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 7-14-97 By letter dated July 11, 1997, NCPA agreed with PG&E’s objection to the requested extension and stated that additional technical studies should be performed. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 7-21-97 By letter dated July 15, 1997, PAC objected to any extension and indicated studies should be re-opened in light of recent events and modeling considerations. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 7-21-97 By letter dated July 18, 1997, SMUD recommended that the project revert to Phase 2 status. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 7-24-97 By letter dated July 24, 1997, TANC recommended that the review group be resurrected and stated their intention to participate. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 7-25-97 By letter dated July 22, 1997, PGE pointed out that the project has failed to meet a number of significant milestones, and recommended that it revert to Phase 2 status. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 7-25-97 By letter dated July 22, 1997, BPA approved the extension request and stated that additional studies can be handled through OCSG. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 7-25-97 By letter dated July 22, 1997, SPP announced a meeting of the Review Group for August 5, 1997, and stated that results of additional studies based on 1997 Summer OCSG cases would be presented. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 7-28-97 By letter dated July 24, 1997, WAPA indicated that new studies should be run to determine a rating consistent with reliable operation of COI/PDCI. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 8-04-97 By letter dated July 31, 1997, SPP distributed an information packet for the August 5, 1997 meeting of the Adhoc Review Group. The packet included reports of new studies run on selected OCSG cases. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 8-06-97 By letter dated July 31, 1997, SPP declared their intent to limit the membership of the Review Group to the original members. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 8-18-97 By letter dated August 8, 1997, SPP announced an August 5 meeting of the Review Group and invited participation by other interested parties. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 12-3-97 By fax dated December 3, 1997, TANC commented on the amendment to the 1995 Phase 2 Rating Report, expressing continued concern over negative impact on reliability. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 12-9-97 By letter dated December 8, 1997, SPP submitted to PCC the amendment to the Phase 2 Rating Study approved 7-14-95. The amendment detailed work of the Adhoc Review Group to address concerns raised by the 7-2-96 and 8-10-96 events. | Comments due  1/9/98 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 12-16-97 By letter dated December 12, 1997, PSE commented that they would not object to a rating which did not exceed the minimum demonstrated transfer improvement of the intertie facilities south of COB. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 12-22-97 By letter dated December 18, 1997, SDGE stated that they did not approve the Amendment to the Alturas Phase 2 Accepted Rating Report, for both technical and procedural reasons. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 1-9-98 By letter dated December 11, 1997, PGE detailed concerns that project would negatively impact their system capabilities. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 1-9-98 By letter dated January 9, 1998, PGE stated that they were supportive of the project, but did not believe the simultaneous rating could be any more than 50 MW without cutting into their operating margin. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 1-13-98 By letter dated January 13, 1998, PAC stated that they could not approve a rating of more than 50 MW, since schedules above that amount would require reductions in COI schedules. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 2-17-98 By e-mail this date the TSS chairman announced formation of an Alturas Project Issues Task Force to address issues raised at the PCC meeting the previous week. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 2-18-98 By letter dated February 3 1998, SPP transmitted the modified final Amendment to the Alturas Phase 2 Rating Study Report. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 2-18-98 By e-mail this date SPP announced that construction on the Alturas intertie has begun, and is expected to be complete 12/24/98. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 2-23-98 By e-mail this date PGE stated that they felt the project studies are incomplete, that their concerns have not been adequately addressed, and that the project should revert to Phase 2 status. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 2-24-98 By e-mail this date BPA responded to PGE’s comments, asking that specific study requests be supplied. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 2-24-98 By e-mail February 20, 1998, SDGE responded to the Alturas Issues Task Force e-mail, providing a list of what they perceive as the issues to be addressed. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 2-24-98 By e-mail February 23, 1998, TANC responded to the Alturas Issues Task Force e-mail, objecting to BPA’s claim that 4000 MW of COI capacity remains unreserved. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 2-24-98 By e-mail this date, Duane Braunagel (TSS) set up a meeting of the Alturas Issues Task Force for 3-5-98, and transmitted a draft list of the issues. The purpose of the meeting was to reach consensus on the factual situation and the issues to remaining to be addressed. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 2-28-98 By e-mail this date, SDGE responded to the draft list of issues with comments on the possible adverse impacts of using the line for firm transfers, rather than merely emergency support. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 3-10-98 By e-mail this date, Duane Braunagel (TSS) transmitted the final report of the Alturas Project Task Force, with recommendations to TSS. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | SPP reported a new in-service date of 12/1998 for the Significant Additions Report. | 12/1998 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 6-18-98 By letter dated June 18, 1998, the Chairman of PCC responded to controversy about the Accepted Rating and Phase 3 status of the project. He attached a chronological description of events surrounding the project and other relevant documents, and reported that by virtue of a fax vote conducted June 8-12, PCC accepted the recommendation of the Review Group and confirmed the prior Accepted Rating of 300 MW. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 12-28-98 Project was placed in commercial service. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 7-1-93 | BPA |  | **Westside 2850 MW Intertie Project** | In service |
|  |  | N | 7-1-93 By letter dated July 1, 1993, BPA transmitted a Comprehensive Annual Report for the Westside 2850 MW Intertie Project including the BPA/Puget Power/NW Washington Transmission Project, the Ingledow Intertie Uprating Project, and the Bellingham Area Reinforcements. Planned rating 2850 MW. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 11-9-95 By letter dated November 3, 1995, PCC granted an Accepted Rating Status and the project entered Phase 3 of the Project Rating Review Process. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 01-26-96 | SDGE |  | **South of SONGS Path Rating (SOS)** | In service |
|  |  | N | 01-22-96 By letter dated January 22, 1996, SDGE reported its intent to follow the 3-step process of achieving an Accepted Rating of 1550 MW north-to-south and 775 MW south-to-north on the existing interconnection between the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS) and the SDG&E system. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 5-9-97 By letter dated May 9, 1996, PCC granted the SOS Project an Accepted Rating of 1800 MW and the project advanced to Phase 3 status. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 1-6-98 By e-mail dated January 6, 1996, SDGE stated that the project was placed in service as of June 1997, when the 230 kV line bundling was completed. | In service |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 8-8-94 | APS |  | **Southern Navajo Series Capacitor Upgrade (SNTP)** | In service |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | N | 8-5-94 By letter dated August 5, 1994, APS submitted a rating study to establish a rating of 2055 MW on the Southern Navajo Transmission System with an increase to 2264 MW with the completion of the Yavapai 500/230 kV interconnection and the upgrade of series capacitors  in the Moenkopi-Westwing 500 kV line. The increased rating is scheduled to be in service by the summer of 1996. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 3-29-95 By letter dated March 29, 1995, PCC granted an Accepted Rating of 2264 MW for the Southern Navajo Transmission System Rating Increase Project and the project entered Phase 3 of the Project Rating Review Process. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| June 90 | LDWP |  | **Mead - Phoenix - Adelanto Projects (MPP/MAP)** | In service |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | N | 6/90 By letter dated June 1990, LDWP submitted the first Mead-Adelanto Project and Mead-Phoenix Project progress report. On July 8, 1993 the Report on the Accepted Rating Study of the Mead-Phoenix and Mead-Adelanto Projects was submitted to PCC and a request for Phase 3 status was made. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 7-15-93 By letter dated July 15, 1993, PCC granted an Accepted Rating of 1300 MW for the Mead-Phoenix Project and 1200 MW for the Mead-Adelanto Project and the projects entered Phase 3 of the Project Rating Review Process. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 3-31-95 By letter dated March 23, 1995, LDWP submitted Progress Report #6 on MAP. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | Project was placed in service April 1, 1996 and will be removed from future distribution of this log. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 06-07-96 | PNM |  | **Rio Puerco Series Capacitors Project (RPCP)** | In service |
|  |  | N | 06-07-96 By letter dated May 31, 1996, PNM submitted a Comprehensive Report on the project, indicated its intent to follow the expedited process of achieving an Accepted Rating increase of 88 MW on the Four Corners to Northern New Mexico path, and solicited interest in forming a Review Group. | Comments due  8/7/96 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 10-17-96 At the October 1996 TSS Meeting, the Chairman announced that the project had fulfilled all the requirements to move to Phase 3, and was awaiting only a formal letter from PCC confirming that status. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 11-15-96 By letter dated November 8, 1996, PCC granted Accepted Rating status, and the project advanced to Phase 3 of the rating process. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | Project was placed in service May 19, 1997 and will be removed from future distribution of this log. | In service |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 12 -12-95 | SCE |  | **West-of-River Path Rating (WOR)** | In service |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | N | 12-12-95 By letter dated December 7, 1995, SCE reported its intent to follow the expedited process of achieving an Accepted Rating of 10,118 MW for the existing Arizona-California West of River path, with the MPP, MAP, Moenkopi-Eldorado, and EOR path rating projects in service. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 3-8-96 By letter dated March 4, 1995, PCC granted an Accepted Rating Status and the WOR project advanced to Phase 3. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 6-1-95 | LDWP |  | **Victorville - Lugo Path Rating (VLTL)** | In service |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | N | 6-1-95 By letter dated May 24, 1995, LDWP transmitted a comprehensive Progress Report for the rating of the Victorville-Lugo Path and solicited interest in the formation of a Project Review Group. Proposed rating is 1950 MW north-to-south and 900 MW south-to-north. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 12-14-95 By letter dated December 8, 1995, PCC granted an Accepted Rating Status and the VLTL project advanced to Phase 3. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 7-14-94 | SCE |  | **East of River Series Capacitor Rating Increase (EOR)** | In service |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | N | 7-11-94 By letter dated July 11, 1994, SCE transmitted study results to support increasing the non-simultaneous limit of the east of the Colorado River (EOR) transmission path from 5700 MW to 6065 MW prior to the Mead-Phoenix-Adelanto project and from 7000 MW to 7365 MW after the Mead-Phoenix-Adelanto project. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 4-10-95 By letter dated March 29, 1995, PCC granted an Accepted Rating of 7365 MW for the Moenkopi-Eldorado and Palo Verde-Devers Series Capacitor Current Rating Increase Project and the project entered Phase 3 of the Project Rating Process. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | Project was placed in service in December 1995 and will be removed from future distribution of this log. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 10-16-95 | SDGE |  | **EOR Path Rating Increase 7365 to 7550 MW (EOR2)** | In service |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | N | 6-6-95 By letter dated June 6, 1995, SDGE announced a proposed increase in the Accepted Rating for the East of Colorado River path, from 7365 MW (see EOR Transmission Rating Project) to 7550 MW. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 08-08-96 By letter dated August 5, 1996, PCC granted the EOR2 Project an Accepted Rating of 7550 MW and the project advanced to Phase 3 status. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 3-1-94 | EPE |  | **Arroyo Phase Shifting Transformer (APST)** | In service |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | N | 3-1-94 By letter dated March 1, 1994, EPE submitted a comprehensive report for the Arroyo Phase Shifting Transformer. Planned rating of 1048 MW. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 9-18-95 By letter dated September 12, 1995, PCC granted an Accepted Rating Status and the project entered Phase 3 of the Project Rating Review Process. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 1-18-96 The phase shifter was reported in service as of September, 1995. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 01-30-02 | PNM |  | **Path 48 (NM2) Northern New Mexico Transmission** |  |
|  |  | N | 01-30-02 By e-mail this date Public Service Company of New Mexico distributed a comprehensive progress report and requested the expedited process for achieving an Accepted Rating for Path 48. PNM also solicited interest in participating in a WSCC Project Review Group. | 7/2004  Revised from  9/2003  Revised from  6/2003 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 05-06-02 By e-mail this date the PCC Chair distributed a letter granting Phase 3 status to the Path 48 (NM2) Northern New Mexico Transmission project. With the simultaneous and non-simultaneous Accepted Ratings associated with the Bluewater-West Mesa and Norton-Hernandez 115 kV line upgrades subject to completion of those upgrades. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 10-03-03 By e-mail this date PNM reported that the in-service date for the Path 48 (NM2) Northern New Mexico Transmission project should be changed to June 1, 2004. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 03-29-04 By e-mail this date PNM reported that the in-service date for the Path 48 (NM2) Northern New Mexico Transmission project would be delayed until June/July, 2004. This is beyond one year from the initial reported in-service date. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 08-10-04 By e-mail this date PNM reported that the Norton-Hernandez 115 kV line upgrades were successfully energized on August 5, 2004. This project will be removed from the active project log before the next distribution. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 12-2-98 | SPP |  | **Falcon-Gonder 345 kV Project** | 7/2003 |
|  |  | N | 12-2-98 By letter dated November 10, 1998, SPP announced a project to interconnect the existing Falcon switching station with a new 345 kV station at Gonder. This is anticipated to increase the Path 32 transfer capability to 400MW west and 230MW east.. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 12-19-00 By letter dated December 19, 2000, The PCC Chairman granted the Falcon-Gonder transmission path (Path 32) an accepted rating of 440 MW east to west and 235 MW west to east and the project attained phase 3 status. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 01-05-01 By e-mail this date, a letter dated December 31, 2000, from the PCC Chairman was distributed reflecting very minor changes to the December 19, 2000 letter granting the Falcon-Gonder transmission path (Path 32) an accepted rating of 440 MW east to west and 235 MW west to east. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | C | 06-05-02 By e-mail this date, a letter from Sierra Pacific was distributed notifying WECC and the Members of the Falcon-Gonder Project Review Group that the planned in-service date for the Falcon-Gonder project is being delayed by 11 months to May 2004. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | S | 08-24-04 By e-mail this date, SPP indicated that the Falcon-Gonder 345 kV Project was placed into service during May 2004. This project will be removed from the active project log before the next distribution. |  |