




SRP IBR Oscillation Event Report for 9/20/23, 11/1/23 and 11/3/23 Events



Delivering water and power™

September 20, 2023 IBR1 BESS Oscillation Summary




- **Event details:** Event identified after RC West called SRPs control room via Pi Vision displays. IBR Operator was able to take the unit to local to arrest the oscillation.
- **Cause:** Error in the Metering Loss Compensation Methodology
- **Solution:** Near term - Logic reverted to use uncompensated meter data. Long term – Fix compensated meter data and put back in place at this and other IBR operated sites for SRP – fix began 12/11/23 estimated completion 1/15/24.
- **WECC Impact:** Frequency of forced oscillations (0.25 Hz) was close to that of NS-A mode frequency causing the Modemeter to trigger alerts for NS-A mode critical damping ratio. Actual damping ratio remained unaffected (The damping ratio of a mode is essentially biased in the presence of forced oscillations having frequency close to that of the mode). RC West mode meters detected forced oscillation. RC West and BPA ODM (Oscillation Detection Module) tool detected forced oscillations with low damping ratio alerts triggered in the RC West Modemeter for NS-A mode. Oscillations in current and frequency across major WI 500kV lines.

September 20, 2023 IBR1 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Provided by Plant Operator)

Event Background

- Battery site was in an idle state when the site controller received a 200 MW discharge setpoint
- Site began to ramp but started oscillating prior to reaching the 200 MW discharge
- Oscillations lasted 17 minutes where maximum recorded output was 275 MW and minimum was -138 MW
- The site was placed in local mode with a 200 MW setpoint and followed that dispatch stopping the oscillations



Significant power output swings observed after site responded to discharge dispatch instructions

September 20, 2023 IBR1 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Provided by Plant Operator)

Additional Event Details and Cause

- The metering EOR developed an algorithm to calculate losses for solar production when solar was passing through the transformer even when solar was charging the BESS
- This algorithm was approved by the operator and SRP and was tested by the operator with passing results
- The oscillation proved the compensated MW values were not plausible
- It was determined under certain conditions the algorithm would cause a divide by zero error that greatly increased the compensated MW values beyond the actual MW capacity of the site.



Under certain conditions, the algorithm used to calculate losses on the solar production caused a divide by zero error resulting in oscillation

September 20, 2023 IBR1 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Provided by Plant Operator)

Countermeasures to prevent reoccurrence


Event Countermeasures

- On 9/24 the loss compensation logic was disabled in the meters for the solar charging BESS conditions
- New loss compensation logic has been written to eliminate the divide by zero scenario which has been approved by SRP and is currently being implemented by the metering EOR
- PLC compensation block will be revised to match the meter logic
 - Then, the PLC will control the power based on compensated power values

Additional Controls Enhancements

- During the troubleshooting, a deficiency in the frequency response logic was detected and corrected

November 1, 2023 IBR1 BESS Oscillation Summary




- **Event Details:** SRP control room operators via the PI Vision displays, noticed the oscillation and called IBR Operator to address. Following 9/20 event, SRP implemented a POI breaker tripping procedure after 3 minutes of oscillations present. The event here looked to stabilize partway through the event. Once the oscillation re-engaged, SRP opened the POI breakers.
- **Cause:** Three GSUs delivered output with differing polarity (some discharging, and some charging) that resulted in erroneous GCU MVA limits. Severity of event aggravated by delayed inverter startup which was sourced from a control's integrator error the previous day to shut down all inverters for controller changes rather than placing inverters in idle. Some inverters were driven to startup upon receiving a non-zero dispatch command which led to unbalanced inverter startup.
- **Solution:** Fixed logic to ensure all inverters from all three GSUs are started up regardless of MW commands to site which also protects against potential inverter startup from a shut down state. GCU MW limit logic fixes put in place to prevent output signal polarity inversion.
- **WECC Impact:** Frequency of forced oscillations (0.25 Hz) was close to that of NS-A mode frequency causing the Modemeter to trigger alerts for NS-A mode critical damping ratio. Actual damping ratio remained unaffected. BPA operators thought the oscillations were caused by unstable NS-A mode and inserted series capacitors to improve mode damping ratio with essentially no effect. RC West and BPA ODM tool detected forced oscillations with low damping ratio alerts triggered in the Modemeter for NS-A mode.

November 1, 2023 IBR1 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Supplied by Plant Operator)

Event Background

- Battery site was dispatched from a 0 MW setpoint to 80 MW charge at ~11:53 EST on 11/1/2023
- Site ramped to -80 MW and began oscillating, with maximum output of ~-8 MW and minimum of -160 MW
- Adjacent PV site under commissioning and was injecting power at time of event



Significant power output swings observed after site responded to charge dispatch instructions


November 1, 2023 IBR1 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Supplied by Plant Operator)

Additional Event Details

- Site had control system update pushed on 10/31/23
 - Adjusted Ymin/Ymax values that reduced maximum and minimum values that can be commanded from site controller
 - Update was done by taking PLC offline and restarting controller
 - Not standard update practice for operating units
- Oscillation was not consistent between the 3 site GS transformers
 - GSUs 1/2 showed similar behavior, GSU 3 had more aggressive output swings

BESS Sum of Inverter MW
Output: GSU 1, 2, and 3 - 11/1/2023




Inconsistent output swings observed across different portions of the facility. Differences in response between GSU Transformers indicate issue was not created by site level PID control, but with GSU-level translation of site commands

November 1, 2023 IBR1 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Supplied by Plant Operator)

Cause 1: Differences in start-up timing across GSUs

- The control update from 10/31/23 created condition that required all inverters on site to go through extended start sequence
 - Likely resulted in portions of the site waking at different times, creating an unbalanced condition that could shift load to specific portions of the facility
- Testing confirmed asymmetric starts between transformers




Differences in response between GSU Transformers suspected of driving GSU near MVA limit

November 1, 2023 IBR1 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Supplied by Plant Operator)

Cause 2: GSU-level inverter setpoint polarity inversion

- Controller programming differences between GSUs revealed vulnerability in the code that translates site-level commands to inverter-level commands
 - When a GSU approached the specified MVA limit, it allowed for improper instructions to lineups to transition to discharge from charge (or vice-versa)
 - Testing confirmed disagreement of output that was consistent with behavior of GSU 3 during event



Differences in inverter-level setpoint command logic allowed inappropriate setpoints to be communicated to some inverters on site. The response of the remainder of the facility creates conditions for a sustained oscillation event.

November 1, 2023 IBR1 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Supplied by Plant Operator)

Countermeasures to prevent reoccurrence


Event Countermeasures

- Updated GSU MVA protection logic to prevent MVA remaining value from going negative
- Corrected code inconsistencies for idle stop and inverter reset across site PLCs
- Standardized values for Ymin, Ymax, and automatic/manual transition across site PLCs

Additional Controls Enhancements

- Tuned site MW PI controls to have a less aggressive ramp rate, and make more consistent with similar projects in operation
- Removed derivative function from site PID MW control loop
- Removed delays in processing feedback to MVAR output and line voltage at POI
- Set AVR to default “ON” after controller restart
- Corrected default MW / MVAR ramp rate to 240 MW / MVAR per minute
- Added condition to prevent MVAR PI wind-up when MVAR setpoint is zero

November 3, 2023 IBR2 BESS Oscillation Summary




- **Event details:** SRP control room operators noticed the oscillation via PI Vision displays and called IBR Operator to address. SRP opened the POI breakers after a delay in response from the IBR Operator.
- **Cause:** Dual meter switching logic (BESS meter for charging and BESS+PV meter for discharging) aggravated by erroneous PV output signal test hard coded to 0MW instead of actual PV output.
- **Solution:** Removed dual meter logic at all operator sites at SRP until more robust logic can be implemented. Improve operator process improvements for communicating and approving controller logic changes and testing to operator controls integrator and SRP. Improve operator control center oscillation detection logic and near term 24/7 SRP site monitoring personnel.
- **WECC Impact:** Frequency of forced oscillations (0.25 Hz) was close to that of NS-A mode frequency causing the Modemeter to trigger alerts for NS-A mode critical damping ratio. Actual damping ratio remained unaffected. RC West and BPA ODM detected forced oscillations with low damping ratio alerts triggered in the RC West Modemeter for NS-A mode.

November 3, 2023 IBR2 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Provided by Plant Operator)

Event Background

- Battery site was dispatched from a 0 MW setpoint to 37 MW discharge at ~17:25 EST on 11/3/2023
- Site output immediately began oscillating, with maximum output of ~85 MW and minimum of -40 MW
- Adjacent PV site under commissioning and was injecting ~50 MW at the time of event start




November 3, 2023 IBR2 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Provided by Plant Operator)

Sequence of Mis-operation: As-found PID Controls Scheme

- Battery MW output value that is fed back to PID loop is dependent on if the site is charging or discharging
 - Discharging logic must include solar contribution to prevent interconnection limit violations
- Solar PV output was not yet added to metering scheme
 - Resulting in the controller misinterpreting the measured value as if the BESS site was exporting too much power when that meter source was selected




The dispatch period on 11/3 was the first instance of a BESS site command for a discharge value that was less than PV actual output

November 3, 2023 IBR2 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Provided by Plant Operator)

Sequence of Mis-operation

- Battery idle w/ ~ -0.8 M of auxiliary load
- Setpoint of 37 MW received
- BESS inverters wake and export power
- BESS output now positive, switch to alternate meter scheme
- PID sees battery MW too high, instruct rapid reduction of output
- Site MW goes negative, swaps meter scheme
- PID sees battery MW too low, instruct rapid increase in output
- Cycle repeats until shutdown




November 3, 2023 IBR2 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Provided by Plant Operator)

Sequence of Mis-operation

- Battery idle w/ ~ -0.8 M of auxiliary load
- ➔ • Setpoint of 37 MW received
- BESS inverters wake and export power
- BESS output now positive, switch to alternate meter scheme
- PID sees battery MW too high, instruct rapid reduction of output
- Site MW goes negative, swaps meter scheme
- PID sees battery MW too low, instruct rapid increase in output
- Cycle repeats until shutdown




November 3, 2023 IBR2 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Provided by Plant Operator)

Sequence of Mis-operation

- Battery idle w/ ~ -0.8 M of auxiliary load
- Setpoint of 37 MW received
- ➔ • BESS inverters wake and export power
- BESS output now positive, switch to alternate meter scheme
- PID sees battery MW too high, instruct rapid reduction of output
- Site MW goes negative, swaps meter scheme
- PID sees battery MW too low, instruct rapid increase in output
- Cycle repeats until shutdown




November 3, 2023 IBR2 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Provided by Plant Operator)

Sequence of Mis-operation

- Battery idle w/ ~ -0.8 M of auxiliary load
- Setpoint of 37 MW received
- BESS inverters wake and export power
- ➔ • BESS output now positive, switch to alternate meter scheme
- PID sees battery MW too high, instruct rapid reduction of output
- Site MW goes negative, swaps meter scheme
- PID sees battery MW too low, instruct rapid increase in output
- Cycle repeats until shutdown




Battery site discharging against unachievable command

November 3, 2023 IBR2 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Provided by Plant Operator)

Sequence of Mis-operation

- Battery idle w/ $\sim -0.8\text{M}$ of auxiliary load
- Setpoint of 37 MW received
- BESS inverters wake and export power
- BESS output now positive, switch to alternate meter scheme
- ➔ • PID sees battery MW too high, instruct rapid reduction of output
- Site MW goes negative, swaps meter scheme
- PID sees battery MW too low, instruct rapid increase in output
- Cycle repeats until shutdown




Battery instantly over-discharges, output control adjusts

November 3, 2023 IBR2 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Provided by Plant Operator)

Sequence of Mis-operation

- Battery idle w/ $\sim -0.8\text{M}$ of auxiliary load
- Setpoint of 37 MW received
- BESS inverters wake and export power
- BESS output now positive, switch to alternate meter scheme
- PID sees battery MW too high, instruct rapid reduction of output
- Site MW goes negative, swaps meter scheme
- PID sees battery MW too low, instruct rapid increase in output
- Cycle repeats until shutdown




Battery output driven down to a charge condition, transitions back to alternate meter

November 3, 2023 IBR2 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Provided by Plant Operator)

Sequence of Mis-operation

- Battery idle w/ $\sim -0.8\text{M}$ of auxiliary load
- Setpoint of 37 MW received
- BESS inverters wake and export power
- BESS output now positive, switch to alternate meter scheme
- PID sees battery MW too high, instruct rapid reduction of output
- Site MW goes negative, swaps meter scheme
- PID sees battery MW too low, instruct rapid increase in output
- Cycle repeats until shutdown




Battery output begins increasing output and will overshoot

November 3, 2023 IBR2 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Provided by Plant Operator)

Sequence of Mis-operation

- Battery idle w/ ~ -0.8 M of auxiliary load
- Setpoint of 37 MW received
- BESS inverters wake and export power
- BESS output now positive, switch to alternate meter scheme
- PID sees battery MW too high, instruct rapid reduction of output
- Site MW goes negative, swaps meter scheme
- PID sees battery MW too low, instruct rapid increase in output
- Cycle repeats until shutdown



Oscillating MW response will continue until either site is stopped, or MW setpoint to battery is above solar output/below or equal to 0 MW

November 3, 2023 IBR2 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Provided by Plant Operator)

Review of Potential Causes and Findings

- Site network performance
 - No contribution to event. Given timing of solar site commissioning, concerns presented around new installed device creating excessive data traffic or conflicts to increase data latency – Verifications confirmed no contribution
- Site metering
 - No contribution to event. Compared historized data to assure no mis-operation of meters on site
- Site EMS control logic
 - No contribution from control functions that assign inverter-level commands. The oscillation was confirmed to occur on both site output and site MW PID control output
 - **Root cause 1: Forced parameter set to zero from time of BESS site commissioning on solar PV MW Meter**
 - For oscillation to occur, site needed a BESS Setpoint >0 , and less than solar actual output. 11/3 was first time this condition occurred
 - **Root cause 2: Commissioning control change management process inadequate to identify forced value**

November 3, 2023 IBR2 BESS Oscillation Root Cause Analysis

(Provided by Plant Operator)

Countermeasures to prevent reoccurrence

- Short-term: Force PID feedback to only one source (BESS GSU low-side metering)
- Long-term: Add Solar PV into BESS site MW feedback function
 - Validate countermeasure applied at all co-located Solar / BESS facilities under construction
 - Update integrator functional design specifications
- Enhanced historization on multiple site control functions
 - Increase sample rate on all tags associated with site main controls (Pre/Post PID MW/MVAR output, network latency, etc.)
- Assign dedicated site operators 24/7 for monitoring and control of all SRP facilities
 - Additional level of observation versus existing exception based and alarm centric monitoring
- Reduce gains on site PID with dynamic tuning
 - Would not have prevented this event, but would have increased oscillation period and is generally more stable for other destabilizing events
- Oscillation detection in PLC
 - Identify MW PID output swapping polarity with significant output in short period